

Q Search analysis, research, academics...

Author

COVID-19 Arts + Culture Business + Economy Cities Education Environment + Energy Health + Medicine Politics + Society Science + Technology

South Africa's spaza shops: how regulatory avoidance harms informal workers February 24, 2020 1.18pm GMT

in LinkedIn

Print



Twitter

employment and community cohesion.

Small informal retailers are a ubiquitous feature of any **Email** developing country's urban landscape. Known as spaza shops in South Africa, they are an important, even vital, component in the **f** Facebook townships. Numbering over 100,000 across the nation, they

shopkeepers.

In the last decade, the sector has undergone extensive change. A new class of traders has emerged. They have often – but not always – been foreign. For this reason, this changing character of South Africa's spaza sector has become associated with chauvinistic and xenophobic portrayals of immigrant

make critical contributions to local food security, self-

On the one hand, angry locals, often egged on by opportunist politicians, have accused foreign traders of destroying South African livelihoods. On the other hand, those questioning this xenophobia have tended to argue that the new class of traders simply represent 'better entrepreneurs' who are out-competing less dynamic traders.

itself is changing. To get to the bottom of changes taking place the Sustainable Livelihoods Foundation and PLAAS conducted <u>business censuses</u> and interviews with 1,100 township grocery retailers across all

But much more is going on than simply the replacement of

locals by foreigners. Rather, the structure of the spaza sector

adapted in order to compete and survive. Changing retail landscape Operating from rural, peri-urban and urban residential townships, virtually all spazas we encountered were unregistered

and worked exclusively in cash. That, indeed, is why they are

What we found should give politicians and policy makers pause

in danger of becoming a casualty in an industry that has rapidly

for thought. Our findings suggest that South Africa's rule of law is

classified as 'informal' businesses.

nine provinces of South Africa.

But this classification masked important differences. The shops we visited typically reflected one of two business types. On the one hand, about one-third were 'survivalist' owner-operators trading from their homes. These resembled the 'traditional' spaza shop. These businesses were informal because they had no

choice. They were simply too small, or the owners too poor, to

The remaining two thirds were also informal, but were so by

choice. They differed from their survivalist counterparts in that

formalise and thereby enter the legal framework.

they were larger, operating from dedicated premises. They offered a wider range of stock, gave credit and had business ties with wholesalers. The also employed staff. The field work revealed about 45% of the shop keepers we encountered were in fact employees. Rather than being owner operators they tended to work for those who owned the larger upstream wholesale business that supplied their outlets with stocks. Some of these upstream warehouses

operated in networks with turnovers of hundreds of thousands of

Rands per week. We also found extensive South African

supermarket chains and shopping-malls in the retail mix.

The rise of these larger vertically integrated spaza outlets and supermarkets has intensified business competition. Many smaller (mostly South African) independent businesses have exited the market. This new class of informal traders has brought about important

social benefits. These include access to a wide variety of cheap

consumer goods. But this has come at a cost - especially where

the active avoidance regulation has become an explicit business

Exploitation The spaza employees we encountered predominantly worked in poor conditions. Foreign nationals were particularly vulnerable to exploitation.

More than half of those we interviewed reported working more

than 15 hours per day, seven-days-a-week. Some were earning as

little as R400 (about US\$27,22) per month. Some shop assistants claimed to be working towards becoming shareholders in the business. But more than three quarters of our sample reported

(illegally) in the shopfront.

under these circumstances.

Bypassing laws

practices break the law.

strategy.

being employees only. None had written employment contracts, and all worked for cash wages. Half of the Cape Town employees we interviewed in a follow-on

investigation (and many interviewed elsewhere) reported that

employers held back their pay. In some cases, a portion of the

wages was paid to the employee and the balance reportedly paid to their family elsewhere (commonly in their home country). In Cape Town, over half the Ethiopian respondents claimed to be repaying financial debts to their bosses for travel expenses to South Africa. In almost all cases employers retained foreign employees' passports. Across the sample, 71% of spaza employees

were required to sleep in the building, with nearly half sleeping

These conditions clearly violate the country's <u>labour laws</u>, which

stipulated at the time that retail workers must earn at least R3,701

per month for a 45-hour work week. The law stipulates 12 hours

of rest in each 24-hour period, or 36 consecutive rest hours per week, including Sundays, unless agreed in writing. Further, the working conditions we encountered trigger the great majority of the working conditions identified by the UK Gangmasters and Labour Abuse Authority as warning flags that people might be working as bonded labour.

If our sample is anything to go by, there may be many thousands

of shopkeeper employees who toil behind the sales counter

The exploitative nature of employment in the spaza sector directly results from the embrace of informality by operators that are large enough to conform to the rules of the formal sector. We categorise this form of entrepreneurship as 'informalist'. This is a new form of informality where an

otherwise legitimate activity (retailing groceries) relies on labour

Informalist strategies for spaza competitiveness capitalise on the

and retailing practices that evade regulatory oversight. These

concessions accorded to survivalist enterprises. These include municipal allowances for home-based businesses in townships. But these businesses then bypass other important forms of

implications for inclusive growth, fair work, and rule-of-law.

development. They have done so by stoking social tensions by

scapegoating these foreign-national spaza shop workers. As our

regulation including labour laws. This brings negative

An increasing number of politicians have simplified this

research shows, these workers are themselves deeply vulnerable. They are operating at the coalface of xenophobic hatred and crime. One problem is that debates about informal township businesses have been framed in an unhelpful way. For example, it is assumed that the regulatory choice lies between 'protectionist'

regulations favouring South Africans, or deregulation and

tolerance of immigrant entrepreneurs. This approach

and misrepresents the nature of the regulatory choices required. What's to be done The South African government already has the capacity to create a fair and supportive regulatory framework. All that's required is for the South African Departments of Labour, Home Affairs, State Security, South African Revenue Service, the South African

Police Service, and local municipalities to limit regulatory

avoidance in township grocery markets.

clearly proscribed by South African law.

Modern slavery informal sector work Migrant labour

underestimates the seriousness of the situation that has evolved

Secondly, municipalities must stop succumbing to the corporate developers of shopping malls in the townships. They must also reconsider expansion of supermarket chains into the heart of townships. Both these developments have forced the township grocery sector into a choice between shutting down or embracing informalist business practices. Responsibility also lies with South Africa's corporate

spaza shelves. One of the losers in this transition have been South Africa's traditional spaza shops. But they are not the only victims. There are potentially thousands of vulnerable spaza shop employees -South African and foreign – who are labouring under conditions

manufacturers and wholesalers. They have ignored exploitative

business practices in order to get their brands and products onto

Leif Petersen, a co-director of the Sustainable Livelihoods Foundation, was the lead investigator of this project and is a co-author of this article Minimum wage Xenophobia informal sector Peacebuilding Labour laws Spaza shops

University of the Western Cape Disclosure statement Andries du Toit does not work for, consult, own shares in or receive funding from any company or organisation that would benefit

Andries du Toit

Director, Institute for Poverty, Land and Agrarian Studies,

from this article, and has disclosed no relevant affiliations beyond their academic appointment. **Partners**

WESTERN CAPE University of Western Cape provides support as a hosting partner of The Conversation

View the full list

© creative commons

Republish our articles for

free, online or in print, under Creative Commons licence.

Republish this article

AFRICA. The Conversation UK receives funding from these organisations

We believe in the free flow of information

Advanced Biobased Materials and Composites Conference — Portsmouth, Hampshire Basic Jungian Concepts (Online Course) — Colchester, Essex

What is Quantum Technology? — Portsmouth, Hampshire The Clockwork Universe — Portsmouth, Hampshire

Society going viral! The hidden psychology of pandemics across time and contexts — Cambridge, Cambridgeshire

Community standards

Events

Donate

Our feeds

MORE EVENTS

Events

Republishing guidelines Friends of The Conversation Analytics

Privacy policy Terms and conditions Corrections and complaints

Our charter Our team Our blog

Who we are

Partners and funders Resource for media

Contact us

Copyright © 2010–2020, The Conversation Trust (UK) Limited